

MSCI ESG RATING

AA

INVESTMENT OBJECTIVE

Globally invest and capitalize on the long-term mega growth trends of investing in clean and sustainable companies using a broad, holistic approach across four critical **Clean Themes**:

ENERGY

INFRASTRUCTURE

TRANSPORTATION

WATER

Strategy Information

Strategy Assets: \$22 million

Inception/Creation: January 2018

of Holdings: 30-50

Max Position Size: 8%

Wtd Avg Market Cap: \$21.4 billion

MSCI ESG Quality Score: 7.2

Available Vehicles: SMA, Mutual Fund

Benchmark: MSCI ACWI Net TR USD Index

Portfolio Managers

Saket Kumar

Co-CIO & Lead Portfolio Manager

- 18 years transports
- 14 years industrials
- 9 years energy and materials

Hari Kusumakar

Portfolio Manager

- 16 years information technology

Alex Palma, CFA

Portfolio Manager & Research Analyst

- 12 years industrials and transports
- 12 years energy and materials

Performance vs Benchmarks

	Total Return ¹ as of June 30, 2023					
	Q2	2023 YTD	1 Year ^{1,2}	3 Years ^{1,2}	5 Years ^{1,2}	Since Inception ^{1,2}
NXG Global Clean Equity Strategy (Gross)	-0.20	6.08	1.21	11.40	15.59	14.22
NXG Global Clean Equity Strategy (Net)³	-0.45	5.55	0.20	10.29	14.44	13.08
MSCI ACWI Net TR USD Index ^{4,5}	6.18	13.93	16.53	10.99	8.10	7.26
S&P Global Clean Energy Index TR Net ⁶	-6.60	-7.17	-2.21	15.15	17.65	14.85
NASDAQ Clean Edge Green Energy TR Index ⁷	-1.54	9.01	-1.13	20.80	22.39	19.37


PAST PERFORMANCE IS NOT INDICATIVE OF FUTURE RESULTS

¹ Strategy Inception was 01/02/2018. ²Returns greater than 1-year are annualized. ³Net returns are calculated using a 1% model management fee. ⁴Strategy Benchmark. ⁵The MSCI ACWI Net TR Index, MSCI's flagship global equity index, is designed to represent performance of the full opportunity set of large- and mid-cap stocks across 23 developed and 26 emerging markets. ⁶The S&P Global Clean Energy Index TR Net provides exposure to 30 companies from around the world that are involved in clean energy related businesses. ⁷The NASDAQ Clean Edge Green Energy TR Index is a modified market capitalization weighted index designed to track the performance of clean-energy companies that are publicly traded in the U.S.

Indices shown are provided for comparison purposes only, are unmanaged, may or may not reflect reinvestment of distributions and do not reflect the impact of the advisory fees. It is not possible to invest directly in an index.

Strategy Clean Themes Allocations & Exposure by Region ¹

as of June 30, 2023



¹Portfolio holdings are subject to change and there is no assurance that the Strategy will continue to have these theme allocations.

CLEAN ENERGY



Wind Energy



Solar Energy



Other Renewables & Technologies

- 204 companies
- \$1.7 trillion total mkt cap
- \$9.0 billion avg daily liquidity
- Multi-decade time horizon

CLEAN INFRASTRUCTURE



Transmission & Distribution



Smart Grid & Smart Cities



Enabling Technologies

- 154 companies
- \$4.3 trillion total mkt cap
- \$39.6 billion avg daily liquidity
- Multi-decade time horizon

CLEAN TRANSPORTATION



Electric & Connected Vehicles



Future Mobility & Autonomous



Battery & Technologies

- 92 companies
- \$1.4 trillion total mkt cap
- \$33.0 billion avg daily liquidity
- Multi-decade time horizon

CLEAN WATER



Treatment & Technology



Management



Infrastructure

- 51 companies
- \$0.2 trillion total mkt cap
- \$1.1 billion avg daily liquidity
- Multi-decade time horizon

¹ Market Data as of June 30, 2023

Top 10 Holdings ^{1,2} as of June 30, 2023

Name	Clean Theme	Position Wgt	Market Cap (\$B)	MSCI ESG Rating
Plug Power Inc.	Transportation	5.0%	6.2	AA
Atlantica Sustainable Infrastructure plc	Energy	4.7%	2.7	n/a
SolarEdge Technologies, Inc	Energy	4.4%	15.2	BBB
TPI Composites, Inc.	Energy	4.3%	0.4	BBB
Solaria Energía y Medio Ambiente, S.A.	Energy	4.3%	1.9	AAA
Shoals Technologies Group, Inc.	Energy	4.3%	4.4	BB
NextEra Energy Partners, LP	Energy	4.2%	5.2	A
Maxon Solar Technologies, Ltd.	Energy	4.2%	1.5	n/a
ReNew Energy Global Plc	Energy	4.1%	2.2	n/a
Enphase Energy, Inc.	Energy	4.1%	23.0	A

Top 10 Holdings as a % of Net Assets **43.5%**

ESG Integration Into Investment Process



Investigate

We continually **assess a company's overall ESG track record**. Our firm coverage across sectors allows us to identify industry outliers in terms of ESG strategies.



Engage

We **engage in discussions with company management regarding key ESG-related risks**.



Select

We seek to avoid securities with disproportionate ESG risks relative to valuation. We strive to be **thoughtful about ESG risk integration** in the context of valuation and portfolio construction.



Perform

We **combine ESG with our fundamental analysis aiming to deliver alpha and reduce risk** while concurrently monitoring valuation to avoid overpaying for perceived quality.

¹ Portfolio holdings are subject to change and there is no assurance that the Strategy will continue to have these theme allocations.

² Market capitalization in \$ Billions.

Quarterly Performance (%)

as of June 30, 2023

	Q1			Q2			Q3			Q4			YTD		
	Gross	Net ¹	Benchmark ²	Gross	Net ¹	Benchmark ²	Gross	Net ¹	Benchmark ²	Gross	Net ¹	Benchmark ²	Gross	Net ¹	Benchmark ²
2023	6.29	6.03	6.16	-0.20	-0.45	7.32	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.08	5.55	13.93
2022	-10.64	-10.87	-5.36	-17.60	-17.80	-15.66	-1.09	-1.34	-6.82	-3.54	-3.78	9.76	-29.75	-30.45	-18.36
2021	-6.27	-6.50	4.57	1.95	1.69	7.39	-11.48	-11.71	-1.05	1.73	1.47	6.68	-13.95	-14.81	18.54
2020	-14.80	-15.01	-21.37	35.91	35.57	19.22	48.50	48.13	8.13	45.17	44.81	14.68	149.65	147.16	16.25
2019	13.04	12.75	12.18	11.96	11.68	3.61	2.04	1.79	-0.03	7.20	6.93	8.95	38.43	37.06	26.60
2018	-0.63	-0.87	-0.96	1.23	0.98	0.53	5.16	4.90	4.28	-11.41	-11.64	-12.75	-6.29	-7.22	-9.41

PAST PERFORMANCE IS NOT INDICATIVE OF FUTURE RESULTS.

¹Net returns are calculated using a 1% model management fee and reflect the deduction of applicable withholding taxes. Quarterly & YTD returns for 2023 are as of the date indicated in the header. YTD returns shown for prior years are for the twelve-month calendar year.

²The MSCI ACWI Net TR Index

NXG Global Clean Equity Strategy Composite

Year End	Total Assets (\$ Millions)				Composite Performance (%)			Annualized 3-Year Standard Deviation ¹		Internal Composite Dispersion ²
	Firm	Composite	% of Composite Assets from Wrap Program	# of Accounts	Gross	Net	Benchmark (%)	Composite	Benchmark	
2023	1,026	22	N.A.	2	6.08	5.55	13.93	38.54	17.27	N.A.
2022	1,039	22	N.A.	2	-29.75	-30.45	-18.36	41.20	20.14	N.A.
2021	972	30	N.A.	2	-13.95	-14.81	18.54	33.90	17.08	N.A.
2020	829	13	N.A.	1	149.65	147.16	16.25	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
2019	1,807	2	N.A.	1	38.43	37.06	26.60	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
2018	2,712	1	N.A.	1	-6.29	-7.22	-9.41	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.

PAST PERFORMANCE IS NOT INDICATIVE OF FUTURE RESULTS.

N.A.- Information is not statistically meaningful due to an insufficient number of portfolios in the composite for the entire year.¹ For periods with less than 36 months of composite performance, no 3-year ex-post standard deviation measurement is available

² Dispersion is the asset-weighted standard deviation of annual returns of all portfolios in the composite for the entire year. If dispersion is N.A., the composite did not hold 6 or more accounts for the entire year or the period is a partial year. ³Benchmark: MSCI ACWI Net TR USD Index. ⁴Performance reflects the un-annualized performance for the period from 1/1/2023 to 06/30/2023.

Compliance Statement: NXG Investment Management ("NXG") claims compliance with the Global Investment Performance Standards (GIPS) and has prepared and presented this report in compliance with the GIPS standards. NXG has been independently verified for the periods January 1, 2006 through December 31, 2021. The verification report(s) is/are available upon request. A firm that claims compliance with the GIPS standards must establish policies and procedures for complying with all the applicable requirements of the GIPS standards. Verification provides assurance on whether the firm's policies and procedures related to composite and pooled fund maintenance, as well as the calculation, presentation, and distribution of performance, have been designed in compliance with the GIPS standards and have been implemented on a firm-wide basis. Verification does not provide assurance on the accuracy of any specific report. GIPS is a registered trademark of CFA Institute. CFA does not endorse or promote this organization, nor does it warrant the accuracy or quality of the content contained herein. **Definition of the Firm:** Cushing® Asset Management d/b/a NXG is an independent investment adviser registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. The firm specializes in providing active management in markets where inefficiencies exist. The firm maintains a list of composite descriptions, which is available upon request.

Please see next page for additional important information.

Description of Composite: The NXG Global Clean Equity Strategy Composite (formerly known as the Cushing® Clean Energy and Sustainability Strategy Composite) (the “Composite”) is comprised of portfolios that invest in “clean” companies. The objective of each portfolio is to seek capital appreciation. The Composite was created in January 2018. The investment management fee schedule for the Composite is 1% on the value of managed account assets. Actual investment advisory fees incurred by clients may vary. Further information regarding investment advisory fees is described in Part 2A of the firm’s Form ADV. The benchmark for the composite is the MSCI ACWI Net TR USD Index, MSCI’s flagship global equity index, is designed to represent performance of the full opportunity set of large- and mid-cap stocks across 23 developed and 26 emerging markets. Past performance is not indicative of future results. The U.S. Dollar is the currency used to express performance. Performance shown represents total returns that include income, realized and unrealized gains and losses. Gross performance is presented net of transaction costs. Net of fee performance was calculated using a model fee of 1.00% for each portfolio. Policies for valuing portfolios, calculating performance, and preparing compliant presentations are available upon request. The annual composite dispersion presented is an asset-weighted standard deviation calculated using accounts in the composite the entire year. The 3-Year Standard Deviation represents the annualized standard deviation of actual composite and benchmark returns, using the rolling 36-months ended each year-end.

Additional Information: Additional information regarding the firm’s policies and procedures for valuing portfolios, calculating performance, and preparing compliant presentations as well as copies of compliant presentations and a list of composite descriptions are available upon request at info@nxgim.com or (214) 692-6334.

This report contains certain information (the “Information”) sourced from MSCI ESG Research LLC, or its affiliates or information providers (the “ESG Parties”). The Information may only be used for your internal use, may not be reproduced or disseminated in any form and may not be used as a basis for or a component of any financial instruments or products or indices. Although they obtain information from sources they consider reliable, none of the ESG Parties warrants or guarantees the originality, accuracy and/or completeness, of any data herein and expressly disclaim all express or implied warranties, including those of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. None of the MSCI information is intended to constitute investment advice or a recommendation to make (or refrain from making) any kind of investment decision and may not be relied on as such, nor should it be taken as an indication or guarantee of any future performance, analysis, forecast or prediction. None of the ESG Parties shall have any liability for any errors or omissions in connection with any data herein, or any liability for any direct, indirect,

The MSCI ESG Fund Ratings: designed to assess the resilience of a fund’s aggregate holdings to long term ESG risks. Highly rated funds consist of issuers with leading or improving management of key ESG risks.

- AAA, AA: Leader- The companies that the fund invests in tend to show strong and/or improving management of financially relevant environmental, social and governance issues. These companies may be more resilient to disruptions arising from ESG events.
- A, BB, BB: Average- The fund invests in companies that tend to show average management of ESG issues, or in a mix of companies with both above-average and below-average ESG risk management.
- B, CCC: Laggard- The fund is exposed to companies that do not demonstrate adequate management of the ESG risks that they face or show worsening management of these issues. These companies may be more vulnerable to disruptions arising from ESG events.

The Fund ESG Rating is calculated as a direct mapping of “Fund ESG Quality Score” to letter rating categories.

- 8.6- 10: AAA
- 7.1- 8.6: AA
- 5.7- 7.1: A
- 4.3- 5.7: BBB
- 2.9- 4.3: BB
- 1.4- 2.9: B
- 0.0- 1.4: CCC

The “Fund ESG Quality Score” assesses the resilience of a fund’s aggregate holdings to long term ESG risks. Highly rated funds consist of issuers with leading or improving management of key ESG risks, based on a granular breakdown of each issuer’s business: its core product or business segments, the locations of its assets or revenues, and other relevant measures such as out-sourced production. The “Fund ESG Quality Score” is provided on a 0-10 score, with 0 and 10 being the respective lowest and highest possible fund scores.

The “Fund ESG Quality Score” is assessed using the underlying holding’s “Overall ESG Scores”, “Overall ESG Ratings”, and “Overall ESG Rating Trends”. It is calculated in a series of 3 steps.

Step 1: Calculate the “Fund Weighted Average ESG Score” of the underlying holding’s “Overall ESG Scores”. The Overall ESG Scores represent either the ESG Ratings Final Industry-Adjusted Score or Government Adjusted ESG Score of the issuer. Methodology for the issuer level scores are available in the MSCI ESG Ratings Methodology document.

Step 2: Calculate adjustment % based on fund exposure to “Fund ESG Laggards (%)”, “Fund ESG Trend Negative (%)”, and “Fund ESG Trend Positive (%)”.

Step 3: Multiply the “Fund Weighted Average ESG Score” by (1 + Adjustment %).